IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 137 OF 2007
IN
Contempt Petition No.177/2007
IN
Civil Application No. 2904/2006
IN
W.P. NO. 1273 OF 2005

Ved Saraogi of New Delhi .. Applicant.

V/s.

1. Bank of Maharashtra & 15 Ors. .. Respondents.

Mr.Y.N.Adhia for the Applicant.

Mrs. Kusum S. Maurya for the Respondents.

CORAM : S. A. BOBDE, J.

DATED : 12th OCTOBER, 2007.

P.C. :-

. It appears from the civil application that the respondents No. 4,5,7,8,10,12,13 and 14 are avoiding the service though the respondents No. 9,10,12 and 13 are served and are represented by an Advocate. The relatives of the unserved respondents claim that they did not know where-abouts of the unserved respondents.

2. Hence, it appears that there is no option but to direct the substitute service of the contempt petition

as contemplated under Rule 19 (c) of Chapter 34 of the Rules under the Contempt of Courts Act read with the Code of Civil Procedure. The petitioner may publish a notice for substitute service in the newspapers; one, in Mumbai Samachar and other, in Free Press Journal, published from Mumbai.

3. Notice may be published within a period of eight weeks from today and report be made to this court.

4. Civil application for substitute service is hereby allowed.

(S.A.BOBDE,J)

.....